Case RI/1:19-cv-00247 Document 3 Filed 05/14/19 Page 1 of 3

## UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2738

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

## CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -142)

On October 4, 2016, the Panel transferred 10 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 220 F.Supp.3d 1356 (J.P.M.L. 2016). Since that time, 1,058 additional action(s) have been transferred to the District of New Jersey. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Freda L. Wolfson.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of New Jersey and assigned to Judge Wolfson.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of New Jersey for the reasons stated in the order of October 4, 2016, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Freda L. Wolfson.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

May 14, 2019

CLERK'S OFFICE UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols

Clerk of the Panel I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY WILLIAMYT. WALSH, CLERK

IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2738

## **SCHEDULE CTO-142 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<u>DIST</u>	DIV.	C.A.NO.	CASE CAPTION
LOUISIANA EASTERN			
LAE	2	<del>19-09554</del>	Landry v. Johnson & Johnson et al Opposed 5/14/19
LAE	<del>2</del>	<del>19-09314</del>	Hudson v. Johnson & Johnson et al Opposed 5/14/19
LAE	<del>2</del>	<del>19-09716</del>	Lea v. Johnson & Johnson et al Opposed 5/14/19
LAE	<del>2</del>	<del>19-09718</del>	Hurley v. Johnson & Johnson et al Opposed 5/14/19
RHODE ISLAND			
RI	1	19-00224	Berreth et al v. Johnson & Johnson et al
RI	1	19-00225	Curry et al v. Johnson & Johnson et al
RI	1	19-00226	Erickson et al v. Johnson & Johnson et al
RI	1	19-00227	Farmer et al v. Johnson & Johnson et al
RI	. 1	19-00228	Sosa v. Johnson & Johnson et al
ŔĬ	1	19-00229	Ricketts et al v. Johnson & Johnson et al
RI	1	19-00230	Tillman v. Johnson & Johnson, et al
RI	1	19-00231	Vollmer et al v. Johnson & Johnson et al
RI	1	19-00234	Al Catantii v. Johnson & Johnson et al
ŘI	1	19-00235	Mondragon v. Johnson & Johnson et al
RI	1	19-00236	Stebner et al v. Johnson & Johnson et al
ŔĬ	1	19-00237	Koester et al v. Johnson & Johnson et al
RI	1	19-00238	Paige et al v. Johnson & Johnson et al
ŘI	1	19-00240	Baxter v. Johnson & Johnson et al
RI	1	19-00241	Marquez v. Johnson & Johnson et al
RI	1	19-00242	Recio v. Johnson & Johnson et al
ŘÍ	1	19-00246	Miser v. Johnson & Johnson et al
RI	1	19-00247	Brown v. Johnson & Johnson et al
RI	1	19-00250	Berkey et al v. Johnson & Johnson et al
RI	1	19-00252	Ciccariello v. Johnson & Johnson et al
RI	1	19-00253	Gonzales v. Johnson & Johnson et al
RI	1	19-00254	Hykes v. Johnson & Johnson et al
RI	1	19-00255	Thoun v. Johnson & Johnson et al
RI	1	19-00256	Tripp v. Johnson & Johnson et al

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 9786 Filed 05/15/19 Page 3 of 3 PageID: 51019

Case RI/1:19-cv-00247 Document 3 Filed 05/14/19 Page 3 of 3

RI

1

19-00257

Willits et al v. Johnson & Johnson et al